REQUEST FOR QUOTES

Consultant Services for the Fare Collection Technology Procurement Project

United Community Action Partnership 1400 S Saratoga Marshall, MN 56258

Release Date: May 27, 2020 Quotes Due: June 8, 2020

Estimated Project Completion Date: October 30, 2020

It is the respondent's responsibility to read the entire document and to comply with all the requirements listed herein.

1. Introduction

United Community Action Partnership, Inc. (UCAP or agency) is seeking a qualified consultant/consultant firm. A consultant will enter into a contract to work with the Fare Collection Technology Committee to identify key features needed for the regional fare collection technology project. Utilizing the key features, consultant will create for solicitation, an approved request for proposal (RFP), create potential vendor list, solicit the RFP, receive proposals, create a review and scoring process and complete all procurement documentation. Consultant will work with committee and Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) Office of Transit and Active Transportation (OTAT) in the RFP creation, process and approvals throughout the contract term. See further explanation highlighted under the Scope of Work for Fare Collection Technology Project in this document.

2. Background

Transit systems in Southwest Minnesota need responsive and reliable fare collection technology in order to create a simplified and nondiscriminatory fare media for public guaranteed/subscription and deviated and/or demand response transit services.

Objectives are to;

- a) provide passengers with seamless transportation between transit agencies,
- b) to accurately collect and account for the required fares,
- c) to implement a variety of payment options,
- d) to include electronic fare collection that will integrate into current billing software, and
- e) to enhance the scheduling, billing, and collection process making overall operations more efficient.

In 2018 Community Transit (of UCAP) put together a Fare Committee to review the fare structure of the program. The Committee consisted of members from the Advisory Committees throughout our service area. This was the first step to changing our fare structure so it would be uniform after the recent mergers of Rock and Pipestone Counties. During these meetings several things were discussed, and one was that it would be nice to be able to accept cards and have an online portal where people and organizations could log on to and put money on a fare card. This would also be beneficial in Marshall where many the route riders are attendees of Southwest Minnesota State University. Community Transit (CT) also met with Central Community Transit (CCT) and Prairie FIVE Rides of Prairie Five Community Action to discussed collaborating on a software to be used regionally. All three transit systems receive state and federal funding through grant agreements with the MnDOT OTAT for public transit operations. The combined service area consists of 16 counties in the southwest corner of Minnesota covering just over 11,000 square miles. Public transit services in this southwest area averages 2,000 daily rides, on 100 daily service avenues (Route Deviation and Demand Response), and collectively takes in approximately \$1,350,000 in yearly fare revenue.

In 2019, a committee made up of several key managers from each of the three systems was established to move this project forward. The committee also includes staff from the MnDOT OTAT to oversee the project. The committee participated in product demonstrations from known vendors of different fare collection software. In March, 2020 a State Capital Grant was awarded to Community Transit (CT) of United Community Action Partnership by the OTAT for a Fare Collection and Technology implementation project.

3. Scope of Work for Fare Collection Technology Project Scope of Work

1. Project Name

The Fare Collection and Technology Committee will establish a unique name that will be used to identify the fare media chosen in this project and used throughout the region.

2. Demo Matrix

The Fare Collection and Technology Committee will create a matrix from the demonstrations conducted in 2019. The purpose of the matrix will be to use the identified key features to clearly outline existing software and hardware in the market and assist in highlighting the features that best meet the needs of this project. This matrix will be used in the request for proposal (RFP) created by the consultant for solicitation.

3. Consultant

The Consultant selected will provide clear direction for the firm/agency to respond to their qualifications, time availability, and ability to complete the outlined tasks. In addition consultant will provide for qualified personnel hourly costs, as well as, supply and travel reimbursement.

Consultant will work with the Fare Collection and Technology Committee to identify and complete the following tasks;

- a. key features needed for the regional fare collection technology project RFP,
- b. write the request for proposal (RFP),
- c. solicit RFP,
- d. receive proposals,
- e. create a scoring process, and
- f. complete all procurement documents.

All documents and the procurement process will follow MnDOT requirements and standards. Final documents will be sent to MnDOT for approval at each step in the process. The consultant who is awarded a contract must be available to attend 3-4 committee meetings throughout the project period and work with agency Directors to review and finalize all documents in the procurement process.

In consideration of time management to create a RFP, the consultant shall incorporate the following criteria and requirements:

A Statement of Work will be included in the RFP that will outline criteria for implementation such as but limited to:

- Introduction of product to system's leadership
- Implementation timeline
- How the hardware will be delivered, and software secured
- Instillation of the product (software, hardware, fare media)
- Training process
- Roll out of new technology to public
- Ongoing support and training.

The following requirements are needed to meet the objectives:

- a. Separate system not tied to routing software
- b. Must be able to handle multiple fare structures
- c. Bank card model
- d. Passenger portal with payment options
- e. Possibility of future mobile app
- f. Ample technical support
- g. Internal controls
- h. The ability to track fare recovery by service type and service area
- i. Third party collection ability

UCAP estimates that approximately 120 consultant hours will be needed to fulfill the deliverables.

4. Vendor Selection

Responders to the RFP must be registered on https://www.sam.gov/SAM/ and submit a signed Affidavit of Non-Collusion. Vendor must have proper certifications required in their field. Once the preferred consultant is identified, the committee members will make a recommendation to their prospective governing boards. Each governing board will provide a vote or consent for UCAP to enter into a contract with the vendor. No work can begin until the contract is signed and dated by both UCAP representative and the preferred vendor representative.

4. Requirements for Consultant

Quotes received in the timeline provided will be evaluated and subsequent interviews may be coordinated with the top responding candidates. The schedule for completion for this part of the project is October 30, 2020. All quotes must be submitted to Cathleen Amick, Transportation Director. Electronic submissions are required and should be sent to Cathleen.amick@unitedcapmn.org. Submissions must be emailed prior to 12:00 pm on Thursday, June 8, 2020.

5. Compliance

All quotes submitted will be evaluated using the following criteria:

- 1. Compliance with the RFQ
- 2. Understanding of the project
- 3. Services to be provided
- 4. Ability to complete the work within the time specified
- 5. Qualifications of the company, including but not limited to their experience and personnel assigned to the project
- 6. Cost
- 7. Special consideration will be given to companies that qualify as Disadvantaged Business Enterprises which consists of small businesses, minority-owned firms, labor surplus and women's business enterprises.

This Request for Quotes (RFQ) is an invitation by UCAP for companies to submit a quote, which may be subject to subsequent discussion. It is not a request for competitive bid. Submission of a quote does not create any right or expectation to a contract with UCAP. UCAP reserves the right to reject any or all quotes and the agency further declares that it will incur no financial obligations for any costs incurred by any company in preparation of their quote.

- A. *Data Practices* All quotes and any information provided in response to this RFQ shall become the property of UCAP. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 13.591, subdivision 3(b), once a contract has been awarded as a result of this RFQ, quotes will be available for viewing and/or reproduction (at requestor's expense). As a result, quotes should not include any information the company might regard as confidential or proprietary. Any statement of confidentiality made with regard to a quote cannot be honored.
- B. Addenda to the RFQ If it becomes necessary to revise any part of this RFQ, addenda will be supplied to all companies receiving this Request for Quote.
- C. Presentations UCAP CT may request proposed vendors to provide a presentation of their qualifications and ability to complete the project. Such presentation would be conducted as part of the review process in determining the preferred vendor. The presentation will be at the submitting company's expense and will provide an opportunity for the company to clarify its quote to ensure a thorough mutual understanding of its content.
- D. *News Releases* News releases pertaining to this project shall not be made without prior written approval by the Transportation Director.
- E. **Company's Independence** The successful company will be considered an independent contractor with respect to all services performed.

- F. Price Changes All prices shall be firm and not subject to increase.
- G. Compliance with Laws The respondent shall comply with all federal, state, and local laws, ordinances and regulations applicable to the work. The respondent, at its own expense, shall secure all occupational and professional licenses and permits from public or private sources necessary for the fulfillment of its obligations in the quote.
- H. *Modification or Withdrawal of Quotes* Responses to this Request for Quote (RFQ) may be modified or withdrawn by written notice prior to the exact hour as specified for receipt of quotes. A quote may be withdrawn by the company or its authorized representative prior to the exact hour and date set for receipt of quote.
- I. Mistake in Quote If the best qualified company discovers a mistake in its quote of a serious and significant nature which is unfavorable to it prior to the issuance of a purchase order or a contract, it may request consideration be given to modifying or withdrawing the quote. The mistake must be evident and provable. UCAP reserves the right to reject any and all requests for correction or withdrawal of quotes received after the hour and date shown in the specifications. In all cases, the decision of the UCAP Board of Directors is final.
- J. **Reservation of Rights** UCAP reserves the right to:
 - 1. Accept or reject any and all quotes received in response to this RFQ for any or no reason, and to re-advertise for new submittals.
 - 2. Waive or modify any irregularities in quotes received after prior notification to the company.
 - 3. Request the submission of quote modifications at any time before the award is made, if such request is in the best interest of the agency.
 - 4. Consider quotes or modifications received at any time before the award is made, if such is in the best interest of the agency.
 - Request clarification and/or additional information from the company during the evaluation process. Said clarification or additional information shall be provided within two working days and shall be provided in writing.
 - 6. Utilize any and all ideas submitted in the quotes received unless those ideas are covered by legal patent or proprietary rights and the patent of those rights is indicated by the company. Quotes will become the property of UCAP.

- 7. Negotiate with the selected company to include further services not identified in this RFQ, as long as the scope of work is not altered.
- 8. To negotiate with one or more respondents.
- K. Expiration of the Quote By submitting a quote the company offers to enter into a Contract, the form and content of which shall be agreed upon by both parties. The company's quote shall not be revocable for ninety (90) days following the response deadline indicated above. UCAP reserves the right to waive any defects in the offer of any company, to reject any or all offers, and to request additional information from any or all respondents.
- L. *Insurance* The Company shall procure and maintain in effect throughout the duration of the project insurance coverage not less than the types and amounts specified in this section.
 - Comprehensive General Liability Insurance: with minimum limits of \$1,500,000
 per occurrence with coverage pertaining to operation and premises of the
 contractor.
 - 2. Workers' Compensation Insurance: as required by state statute.
 - 3. Automobile Liability insurance: with a minimum limit of \$1,500,000 combined single limit (CSL), covering owned, hired, or non-owned vehicles. Coverage provided shall be on an "any vehicle" basis.
 - 4. Professional Liability Insurance with limits per occurrence of \$1,500,000 and a general aggregate amount of \$3,000,000.
 - 5. Excess/Umbrella Liability coverage may be used to reach the required amounts indicated above.
 - 6. Prior to the effective date of this contract, and as a condition precedent to the contract, the Company will furnish the UCAP with Certificates of Insurance listing the UCAP as an "Additional Insured."
 - 7. The policies listed above may not be cancelled until after thirty (30) days written notice of cancellation to UCAP, ten (10) days in the event of a non-payment of premium.
 - 8. All insurance coverage must be written by companies having an A.M. Best's rating of "B+V" or better, and that are licensed or approved by the State of Minnesota to do business in Minnesota.

M. **Termination Clause**-UCAP shall have the right to terminate the Contract at any time, upon 30 days written notice, whenever the agency determines that the performance of the company is unsatisfactory or for cause of the convenience of UCAP.

6. Project Deliverables

A successful project period will conclude with an approved RFP, a vendor list, documents of receipt and review process and results, responsibility determination form, responsiveness checklist, price analysis, cost analysis, procurement history and/or, if required, other identified procurement documentation. Final documents will be in electronic formats and all documents and materials will be the property of UCAP.

7. Project Timeline

May 27, 2020: Release of RFQ

June 8: Quote Proposal submittal deadline

June 12: Notification of contract award for consultant services

June 16: Introductory meeting between Fare Collection Committee and consultant

June - August: Drafts of RFP documents to be reviewed by UCAP

August – September: Solicitation Packets released to known vendors, Review of Proposals and selection of vendor

October 30, 2020: Project Completion

8. Project Budget

List fee structure for services rendered and provide a general description for compensation and expenses in the RFQ submission. Please include information indicating how fee was determined. Information should include; basic service fees, added services and reimbursable fees (if applicable), and out-of-pocket expenses.

9. Payments

Provide a payment structure. Payments will require the submission of written invoices, progress reports, and all procurement documentation required to meet the requirements. Upon proper submission of invoices for work performed or reimbursable expenses, UCAP shall review and, if the work is in conformance with the terms of the agreement, make payment within thirty days of the agency's receipt of the invoice.

Attachments:

A. RFQ Documents:

Non-Collusion Affidavit

B. RFP Documentation Samples:

Decision Matrix, Independent Cost Estimate, Non-Collusion Documentation, Conflict of Interest, Proposal Review, Responsibility Determination, Responsiveness Checklist, Price Analysis, and Procurement History

NON-COLLUSION DECLARATION

The following Non-Collusion Declaration shall be executed by the bidder:				
Operation Of				
State Of Minnesota				
I,, do state under penalty (name of person signing this declaration)				
of perjury under 28 U.S.C. 1746 of the laws of the United States:				
(1) that I am the authorized representative of				
(name of person, partnership, or corporation submitting this proposal)				
and that I have the authority to make this declaration for and on behalf of said bidder;				
(2) that, in connection with this proposal, the said bidder has not either directly or indirectly				
entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion, or otherwise taken any action in restraint of free				
competitive bidding;				
(3) that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the contents of this proposal have not been				
communicated by the bidder or by any of his/her employees or agents to any person who is not an				
employee or agent of the bidder or of the surety on any bond furnished with the proposal and will not be				
communicated to any person who is not an employee or agent of the bidder or of said surety prior to				
the official opening of the proposal, and				
(4) that I have fully informed myself regarding the accuracy of the statements made in this				
declaration.				
Signed: (bidder or his authorized representative)				
Dated:				

Small Purchases
[FTA C 4220.1F Ch. VI, 3.b]

The procedures used must comply with State and local law as well as with Federal requirements. The following guidance is based on the requirements of the Common Grant Rule for governmental recipients, supplemented by FTA policies that address the needs of FTA recipients. The Common Grant Rule for governmental recipients authorizes governmental recipients to use relatively simple and informal small purchase procedures.

Definition: Small purchase procedures may be used to acquire services, supplies, construction, or other property valued at more than the micro-purchase threshold but less than the Federal simplified acquisition threshold* at 41 U.S.C. Section 403(11).

- Multiple Sources available
- Not an emergency purchase

Basic Requirement:

- Small purchase procedures may be used to acquire services, supplies, or other property valued at more than the micro-purchase threshold but less than the Federal simplified acquisition threshold at 41 U.S.C. Section 403 (11).
- FTA does not intend to imply that any purchase of \$150,000 or less must be treated as a Small Purchase.
- The recipient may set lower thresholds for small purchases in compliance with State and local law, or otherwise as it considers appropriate.

Procedures:

•	Must obtain price or rate quotations from an adequate number (at least three) of qualified
	(and potential) sources.
	☐ Independent Cost Estimate

... must make independent cost estimates before receiving bids or proposals. [FTA C 4220.1F Ch. VI, 6.]

- May not divide or reduce the size of procurements merely to avoid the additional procurement requirements applicable to larger acquisitions.
- Restrictive of Competition: Imposing unreasonable business requirements on firms in order for them to qualify to do business. Includes areas of Arbitrary Action, Brand Name Restrictions ("brand name or equal" acceptable), and Geographic Preferences.
- The solicitation **and** the contract awarded thereunder must include a clear and accurate description of the recipient's technical requirements for the property or services to be acquired in a manner that provides for full and open competition. [FTA C 4220.1F, Ch. VI, 2.a.]

^{*} Federal threshold for Micro Purchase at \$10,000 and for Small Purchase at \$250,000 --- State is more restrictive at Micro \$5,000/ Small \$100,000

	☐ Clear, Accurate, and Complete Specification
	☐ Non-Collusion Declaration
•	(Prior to Award) Recipients must perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action in excess of the Simplified Acquisition Threshold, including contract modifications. [FTA C 4220.1f, Ch. VI, 6] □ Cost Analysis breaks down the total price into its components, looking at the supplier's
	costs to determine the profit margin contained in that price. Cost Analysis is used if it is determined that competition is inadequate or price is inconsistent with the expected range established by ICE.
	Price Analysis looks at the supplier's price in comparison to other market prices. Price Analysis is used if it is determined that competition was adequate and price was within the expected range established by the ICE.
	☐ Grantees are required to maintain and make available records detailing the history of
	each procurement. [FTA C 4220.1F, Ch. III, 3.d (1)]
Αc	Iditional Considerations:
•	These purchases are also exempt from FTA's Buy America requirements. Buy America statute requires that the steel, iron and manufactured products used in FTA-funded transit projects must be produced in the United States. The small purchase threshold for purposes of Buy America will not increase with future adjustments made to the simplified acquisition threshold under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) at 48 C.F.R. part 2, subpart 2.1. The \$150,000 contract value is based on the total contract amount, including labor and options, and not just the value of the goods purchased. If procurements may result in bids near \$150,000, recipients should include the Buy America certifications in the solicitation, with a note clarifying that if the bid is more than \$150,000, the bidder must certify per the Buy America requirements, but if the bid is \$150,000 or less, no certification will be
_	necessary. (Dear Colleague Letter 9.16.2016) Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements, however, will apply to construction contracts
•	exceeding \$2,000, even though the recipient uses micro-purchase procurement procedures. Prevailing Wage requirements apply to publicly funded construction contract and require payrolls and audits.

• Rolling Stock <u>Contract Term Limitation</u>: multi-year contract to buy rolling stock with an option not exceeding five (5) years to buy additional rolling stock or replacement parts. May not exercise that option later than five (5) years after the date of its original contract. [FTA C 4220.1F Ch. IV, 2.e.(10]

☐ Ensure Davis-Bacon and Copeland Anti-Kickback Clauses are used for all construction

over \$2,000.

- Limitation applies to the ordering period.
- Be judicious in establishing and extending contract terms.
- Procurement file should contain evidence that the contract term is based upon sound business judgment.
- Consider competition, pricing, fairness and public perception.
- An extension of the contract term length that amounts to an "out of scope" change will require a sole source justification.

	Clauses (In Specification and Contract) DBE Language in Specifications and full packet as an Exhibit/Attachment				
	* Purchases of \$10,000 to \$250,000 (per 6.20.2018 memorandum from OMB) MnDOT				
thr	eshold is at				
	\$5,000 to \$100,000				

Grantee/Sub-Grantee	local
P.O. #:	

Independent Cost Estimate

Project Grantee Informat	ion:	
Grantee/Sub-Grantee Nar	ne:	
Grantee/Sub-Grantee Add	dress:	
Grantee/Sub-Grantee Pro	ject Contact Name:	
Title:		
Phone:	eMa	il:
Project Name and Descrip	<u>ption:</u> eMa	
Project Detail:		
Procurement Type:	Date ICE Completed:	Date of Project Completion:
□ Materials & Supplies □ Professional Services □ Architecture □ Engineering □ Architecture & Engineering □ Operations & Management □ Construction □ Facility Repair/Rehabilitation □ Rolling Stock (Bus, Fleet Vehicles, etc.) □ Rolling Stock refurbish/rehab □ Other:	Procurement Threshold: Micro Purchase <\$4999* Small Purchase \$5000- \$100,000* Request For Proposals (RFP) Invitations For Bid (IFB) Other: Sole Source Piggyback Non-Competitive Quotation Contract Type: Fixed Price Contract Cost Reimbursement Contract Time & Material Contract Labor Hour Contract	Project funding Source: State Funding State Bond Funds Federal Funds CFDA # Combination of Funding Sources: State: Federal Other: MnDOT Grant #: Grant Period: Project Contact Signature:
Procurement Costs: # of Units:	\$5,000/ Small \$100,000 (Report Units OF	R Budget Amount) nated Budget: (Lump sum method)
Cost per Unit:		\$
Total Cost: \$		

Cost of Standard Items					
Vendor/Cost	Product	Unit Cost (\$/ea)	Unit Cost (\$/ea)	Notes	
Source		Delivered	No Freight		

В

Cost of Services, Repairs, or Non-Standard Items								
Item/Task:								
Vendor/Cost Source	Materials	Other Direct Costs	Labor (rate, hours)	Labor Class	Allocated Overhead	SG &A	Profit	Total

NOTE: For complex projects or tasks, attach additional supporting documentation, as appropriate.					
☐ STEP 2: Presented with this ICI	E is my; □ Scope of Work	☐ Request For Quotes Notice			
☐ Request For Proposals					
☐ Invitations For Bid	☐ Other:				

ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST (SPECIFICATION PREPARATION)

(a) This contract, in whole or in part, provides for the Contractor to draft and	
specifications in support of [Contracting of]]	
identify system or program. Further, this contract may task the Contract prepare or assist in preparing work statements that directly, predictably a	
delay are used in future competitive acquisitions in support of	and williout
[Contracting officer identify program]. The parties recognize that by	the
Contractor providing this support a potential conflict of interest arises as	
FAR 9.505-2.	defined by
17110.000 2.	
(b) During the term of this contract and for a period of	allowed to tit will not a supplier to an item or ents the effective resentation nce of this ontractor that e. The
(c) For the purposes of this clause, the term "contractor" means the contract subsidiaries and affiliates, joint ventures involving the contractor, and en which the contractor may hereafter merge or affiliate and any other succ assignee of the contractor.	ntity with
(d) The Contractor acknowledges the full force and effect of this clause. It a bound by its terms and conditions and understands that violation of this in the judgment of the Contracting Officer, be cause for Termination for I under FAR 52.249-6. The Contractor also acknowledges that this does represent the sole and exclusive remedy available to the Government in the Contractor breaches this or any other Organization Conflict of Interest	clause may, Default not the event
Contracting System Name	
Contractor Agency Name	
Contracting System Representative	

roposal Review IFB #:				
Bid Opening Date:	Bid Opening Time:			
Location of Bid Opening:				
	Bid Opening Att			
NAME	TITLE		/AGENCY NAME ADDRESS	
General Description of Pr	ocurement:			
	Bids Recei	/ed		
COMPANY/AGENCY NAME	TIME BID RECEIVED	DATE BID RECEIVED	BID AMOUNT	
NAME	INCOCIVED.	RECEIVED		
Grantee Name and Signatu	ıre:			
Title:				
Date:				
Contractor Representative_				

Responsibility Determination Checklist

https://www.sam.gov

Bidder/Proposer:				
Description of Services/Goods: _				
Date:	_			
For each of the areas described below, check that the appropriate research has been accomplished and provide a short description of the research and the results.				
Research	Acceptable	Comment		
Appropriate financial, equipment, facility, and personnel	☐ Yes ☐ No			
2. Ability to meet the delivery schedule.	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Satisfactory period of performance	☐ Yes ☐ No			
4. Satisfactory record of integrity, not on declined, disbarred, or suspended listings.	☐ Yes ☐ No			
5. Receipt of all necessary data from Supplier.	☐ Yes ☐ No			
6. Search on EPLS (Excluded Parties List System) completed and	☐ Yes ☐ No			

Responsiveness Checklist

Opening Date:	Opening Time:	
Description:		
Contract Administrator:		
Contractor/Name of Bidder:		
Solicitation Poquiroments:		

Solicitation Requirements:

REQUIREMENT	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
Bid received on time, in sealed				
envelope				
All items (X to X) priced clearly				
in unit measures specified				
Drawings submitted for				
products proposed as 'equal to'				
specified brand				
Bid Security Received: \$				
XX.XX OR XX%				
Signature of Company Officer to				
the bid / offer				
Lobbying Certification signed				
and attached				
Clauses signed and attached				
Financial information submitted				
per Section XX				
DBE Documentation included				
and complete				
Insurance documentation				
included				
No exceptions to terms or other				
solicitation language				
Other:				

Grantee/Sub-Grantee local	
P.O. #:	

PRICE ANALYSIS

Project Name and Desc	ription:						
Grantee/Sub-Grantee N	lame:						
Grantee/Sub-Grantee A							
Grantee/Sub-Grantee P	roject Contact N	ame:					
Title:		Phone	:				
eMail:			_		<u> </u>		
Analysis by (Name)				Date:			
Analysis Reviewer Signa							
The pricing quoted on t	he attached shee	et(s) is deemed	to be fair and r	easonable bas	ed on the follo	wing	
type of analysis:							
	th market prices			e item. (Compl	ete summary		
matrix below and attack							
·	proposed pricing	~				ed in-	
house estimate and exp				-	-		
	th a competing s	supplier's prices	s and a compari	ison with the I.	C.E. (complete		
Vehicle Procurement Su	, ,						
	proposed pricing	~		•		e or	
similar item, coupled wi				r Inflation Rate	over the		
corresponding time per			-				
·	e components a						
per pound, etc. to justif	y the price reaso	nableness of tr	ne whole. (Atta	ich analysis to s	support conclu	sions	
drawn) SUMMARY MATRIX for	Droducts and Soi	nvicos					
☐ Adequate Price Compe							
- Adequate Trice compe	The state of the s	Quote Source	e - use one or more o				; A,B,C, e
Description	la de a e e el e et	Duamand		Competitor	Competitor	Competitor	
Procurement	Independent	Proposed	Average	A—	B—	C—	
Item (list in each line, each	Cost	Pricing (complete if	Market				
item and/or service)	Estimate	second box is	Price (complete if first				
	Amount	checked)	box is checked)				
							_
							_
Comments:							_
Attachments (identify a	nd attach):						
□							

Procurement History Gra i	Date: ntee/Sub-Grantee Name:		
Grantee/Sub-Grantee Project Contact Name: Contact Signature:			
Description:			
History Detail			
PLANNING DOCUMENTATION			
Identified Method of Procu	rement:		
	☐ Small Purchase \$5,000-\$100,000*		
	☐ Request for Quotes		
	☐ Other approved solicitation method within small		
purc	hases		
	☐ Request For Proposals (RFP)		
	☐ Invitations For Bid (IFB)		
	☐ Other:		
	☐ Sole Source (Justification attached)		
	☐ Piggyback		
	□ Non-Competitive Quotation		
	☐ Architectural/Engineering (A&E) Services		
	☐ Design-Bid-Build		
	☐ Design-Build		
Description of rationale for	method of procurement:		

 $\hfill \square$ Completion of Independent Cost Estimate

	Completion of Scope of Work
	Written approval from Project Manager to proceed to solicitation
SOLICITATION DOCUMENTATION DETERMINATION THAT SOLICITATION IS C	
DATE SOLICITATION DOCUMEN	IT DEVELOPED:
	RFQ FAIR AND REASONABLE DETERMINATION
	RFP
	☐ BEST VALUE REQUIREMENT
	☐ TIMELINE/ TABLE OF CONTENTS
	☐ REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS
	☐ FEDERAL CLAUSES AND CERTIFICATIONS
	☐ DO NOT UNDULY RESTRICT COMPETITION
	☐ IDENTIFY ALL REQUIREMENTS THAT OFFERORS MUST FULFILL
	☐ IDENTIFY ALL FACTORS TO BE USED IN EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS
	☐ Non-Collusion Declaration
	IFB Low Bid Requirement
	Functional Requirements (ITS)
NUMBER OF POTENTIAL BIDDERS/PROPO	SERS NOTIFIED:
METHOD USED TO SOLICIT POTENTIAL BIG	DDERS/PROPOSERS
	LOCAL NEWSPRINT – NUMBER
	AGENCY WEBSITE
	Known Providers ☐ Email ☐ VIA MAIL ☐ OTHER:
	OTHER (SPECIFY):
	ATTACHED AFFIDAVITS OF PUBLICATION
	ATTACHED COPIES OF NOTICES SENT TO BIDDERS/PROPOSERS
NUMBER OF PROPOSALS/BIDS RECEIVED:	
	PRICE ANALYSIS

☐ COST ANALYSIS

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT Identified Selection of Contract Type: ☐ Firm Fixed ☐ Cost Reimbursement ☐ Time & Materials ** Cost Plus Percentage of Cost Contracts are Prohibited by the FTA Describe Method and Rationale for selection of contract type: (i.e.: This project was released as an RFP as staff required specifications with evaluation and best value approach and was advertised in public newspapers of general circulation, via agency website, and in Construction exchange listing) Successful contractor identified: Basis for selection: Responsibility Determination completed for successful contractor. □ Excluded Parties List System was verified at https://www.sam.gov ☐ Attached search page results Name of Bidder/Proposer Not Recommended Reason for Rejection ☐ Submittal of proposed bidder/proposer documentation for MnDOT Review

☐ Written approval from Project Manager to proceed to Contract Award.

The basis for the contract cost or price awarded:				
Contract Provide	s the following:			
and is completed	Outlines terms, conditions, parties involved, and dates contract commences I.			
	Signed and dated by both parties			
	Specifications – Referenced in its entirety, including all attachments			
	Proposal (in its entirety)			
	☐ all required attachments completed and submitted			
	☐ price proposal			
	□ bid			
	□ operations budget workbook (includes narrative and price breakdown)			
	□ other:			
	Attached Federal Clauses (completed and signed)			
	Attached Debarment and Suspension Certification (completed and signed)			
	Attached Lobbying Certification (completed and signed)			
	Attached Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) Special Provisions			
	☐ Bidders Form (for both RGN or for a Goal)			
	☐ DBE Goal – required paperwork and compliance requirements			